arXiv:1303.6990v1 [physics.optics] 27 Mar 2013

# Causality and the Complete Positivity of Classical Polarization Maps

**Omar Gamel**
*ogamel@physics.utoronto.ca*

**Daniel F. V. James**

*Department of Physics, University of Toronto, 60 St. George St., Toronto, Ontario, Canada M5S 1A7.*

Compiled March 29, 2013

Mueller and Jones matrices have been thoroughly studied as mathematical tools to describe the manipulation of the polarization state of classical light. In particular, the most general physical transformation on the polarization state has been represented as an ensemble of Jones matrices, as $\sum_i V_i \Phi V_i^\dagger$. But this has generally been directly assumed with out proof by most authors. In this paper, we derive this expression from simple physical principles and the matrix theory of positive maps. © 2013 Optical Society of America

*OCIS codes:* 030.1670, 030.6600, 070.5040, 260.5430.

The mathematical description of the polarization state of a light beam dates back over a century and a half to the work of Stokes [1], who introduced the four parameters which bear his name to specify the beam's polarization state [2]. The connection between these parameters and the stochastic theory of electrodynamics was established by Wiener [3] and Wolf [4,5].

When an optical beam passes through an optical system, its state of polarization will be transformed. Traditionally, the description of these transformations has relied on either the Mueller matrix formulation [6] (for the Stokes parameters) or the Jones calculus [7] (for the electric field components, or more generally, for the polarization matrix, also called the coherency matrix).

It is generally assumed on physical grounds that the most general transformation on the state, in the polarization matrix formalism, can be represented as an ensemble of Jones matrices [8], and based on this, the properties of the most general Mueller matrix can be derived [9,10] for the Stokes formalism. The proof of this assumption based on rigorous mathematical properties of the two formalisms has been lacking. Recently, Simon et al. [11] have addressed this problem based on properties of positivity.

In this paper, we address this problem differently. We prove that the most general transformation is indeed an ensemble of Jones matrices, based on Choi's work on positive maps [12] and simple physical assumptions about the state. This is similar to Choi's theorem for completely positive maps [13].

Consider a classical beam of light propagating in the $z$ direction. The magnitude of the electric field in the $x$ and $y$ direction are taken to be probabilistic ensembles given by *complex analytic signals* $E_1(\mathbf{r}, t)$ and $E_2(\mathbf{r}, t)$ respectively, where $\mathbf{r}$ is the position vector. The functions must be analytic signals, meaning when considered as functions of complex $t$, they are each analytic in the lower half of the complex $t$ plane. This ensures the Fourier integral synthesizing the electric field converges [5,14].

The polarization state of the beam of light is given by the 2x2 polarization matrix $\Phi(\mathbf{r}, t)$, defined as follows,

$$\Phi_{ij} = \langle E_i E_j^* \rangle, \qquad i = 1, 2 \tag{1}$$

where $\langle\rangle$ denotes the ensemble average over different possible realizations, and position and time dependence have been suppressed.

Alternatively, the four element Stokes vector $S$ can be used to represent the polarization state [1]. It is related to $\Phi$ as follows,

$$S_\mu = Tr[\Phi \sigma^\mu] = \Phi_{ij} \sigma^\mu_{ji}, \tag{2}$$

$$\Phi = \frac{1}{2} S_\mu \sigma^\mu, \tag{3}$$

where $\sigma^0$ is the identity matrix, and $\sigma^1$, $\sigma^2$, and $\sigma^3$ are the three Pauli matrices $\sigma^z$, $\sigma^x$, and $\sigma^y$ respectively. Einstein summation notation has been used, i.e. repeated indices are summed over. Lowercase Latin letters run from 1 to 2 (corresponding to the two Cartesian components of the field), while lowercase Greek letters run from 0 to 3. The polarization matrix or Stokes vector contain all the physical information about the polarization state of the beam [2], and are different ways of mathematically representing the same information.

When the beam interacts with a linear optical element, generically called a filter, its polarization state is transformed. The most basic type of filter, which we call a simple filter, linearly transforms the transverse electric field vector via the matrix $T$, the well-known Jones matrix [7], viz.,

$$E'_i = T_{ij} E_j. \tag{4}$$

This is equivalent to the following unitary transformation on the polarization matrix,

$$\Phi' = T \Phi T^\dagger. \tag{5}$$

Turning to the Stokes vector, any linear transformation on the state must have the form

$$S'_\mu = M_{\mu\nu} S_\nu, \tag{6}$$

where $M_{\mu\nu}$ is the 4x4 Mueller matrix [6]. Equations (2), (3) and (5) then imply that the Mueller matrix corresponding to a simple filter can be expressed as [2, 8, 10],

$$M_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}Tr[\sigma^{\mu} T \sigma^{\nu} T^{\dagger}] \tag{7}$$

$$= A(T\otimes T^{*})A^{-1} = \frac{1}{2}A(T\otimes T^{*})A^{\dagger}, \tag{8}$$

where $\otimes$ is the tensor product, and A is the matrix whose rows are the vectorization of the Identity and Pauli matrices, given by

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & i & -i & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{9}$$

Mueller matrices of this kind are called *pure Mueller* matrices, or *Mueller-Jones* matrices [10].

Natural questions that arise at this point are how does one represent the most general type of optical filter and what are the relevant Jones and Mueller matrices?

It has been a generally held axiom, motivated by physical intuition, that the most general kind of optical filter is an ensemble of simple filters [8–10]. Therefore, the transformation on the polarization matrix is then represented by an ensemble of Jones matrices, rather than a single one, as follows,

$$\Phi' = \sum_{e} p_e T_e \Phi T_e^{\dagger}, \tag{10}$$

where $e$ is the index over the elements of the ensemble, and $p_e$ is the probability of realizing the $e^{th}$ ensemble element ($\sum_e p_e = 1$).

From eq.(7) we find that the Mueller matrix for a general filter is given by,

$$M_{\mu\nu} = \sum_{e} p_e \frac{1}{2}Tr[\sigma^{\mu} T_e \sigma^{\nu} T_e^{\dagger}] = \sum_{e} p_e M_{\mu\nu}^{(e)}, \tag{11}$$

where the $M_{\mu\nu}^{(e)}$ are pure Mueller matrices, each derived from a single Jones matrix in the ensemble. This expression tells us that any physically admissible Mueller matrix is given by a convex linear combination of pure Mueller matrices. That is, the set of all Mueller matrices is the convex hull of pure Mueller matrices

At first glance, eq.(6) seems to suggest that all matrices $M_{\mu\nu}$ that map the set of physical Stokes vectors into itself are physical Mueller matrices. This condition of mapping Stokes vectors to Stokes vectors turns out to be a necessary but *not sufficient* condition for a physical Mueller matrix [9–11]. The other necessary condition has to do with the positivity of the polarization matrix, as shown in ref. [11].

In the remainder of this letter, we derive eq.(10) from basic mathematical properties, making use of a theorem due to Choi, regarding positive linear maps in C*-algebras [12]. Let $\mathcal{F}(\Phi)$ denote the operation of a general linear filter on the polarization matrix $\Phi$. We assume $\mathcal{F}(\Phi)$ satisfies the following axioms,

1. The map $\mathcal{F}$ is linear. That is, for any set of coefficients $\{c_i\}$, we have $\mathcal{F}\Big(\sum_i c_i \Phi_i\Big) = \sum_i c_i \mathcal{F}(\Phi_i)$.
2. The map $\mathcal{F}$ is positive. That is, $\mathcal{F}(\Phi)$ is a positive 2x2 matrix for any positive 2x2 matrix $\Phi$.
3. The simplest expression for $\mathcal{F}(\Phi)$ does not include the conjugate of the input matrix, $\Phi^{*}$. In other words, $\mathcal{F}$ is holomorphic.

The validity of the first axiom is based on the superposition principle, assuming of course the different polarization matrices correspond to independent electric fields (i.e. the electric fields underlying the polarization matrices being added are mutually uncorrelated) [15]. The second axiom holds true since the output of $\mathcal{F}$ is a physical polarization matrix, and therefore positive.

We now justify the third axiom. From the definition of $\Phi$ in eq.(1), we see that applying the complex conjugation operator to $\Phi$ is equivalent to applying it to the electric fields. That is, it is equivalent to $E_j \to E_j^{*}, j = 1, 2$.

Reverting back to the theory of optical coherence in ref. [5], we see that the electric field of a beam *at a given point* must be given by the real component of the analytic electric field, expressed as, $E_j(t) = \frac{1}{2}[E_j^{(r)}(t) + iE_j^{(i)}(t)]$, where $j = 1, 2$. The real and imaginary parts $E_j^{(r)}(t)$ and $E_j^{(i)}(t)$ must form a Hilbert transform pair (conjugate pair), i.e. $E_j^{(i)}(t) = \mathcal{H}(E_j^{(r)}(t))$, where $\mathcal{H}$ is the Hilbert transform. This relationship must hold for the complex electric field to be an analytic signal, a necessary condition for the field to be physical. Applying the complex conjugation operation to $E_j(t)$ means flipping the sign of $E_j^{(i)}(t)$, and the overall field becomes $E_j^{*}(t) = \frac{1}{2}[E_j^{(r)}(t) - iE_j^{(i)}(t)]$

However, $E_j^{*}(t)$ does not describe an admissible complex electric field because its imaginary part is not the Hilbert transform of its real part (there is a sign disparity), and therefore it does not form an analytic signal. In other words, complex conjugation of the complex electric field is an unphysical operation, inadmissible by the underlying formalism of classical linear optics. Even though the real part of the signal is unchanged, it is rendered unphysical by the imaginary part, which determines the relative phase of the signal, e.g. in elliptical polarization. The conjugation operation is similar to the phase-conjugate mirror in non-linear optics [16].

We can also consider an example where we have the electric field $E_j(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{E}_j(\omega)e^{i\omega t}d\omega$ for some real $\tilde{E}_j(\omega)$. Suppose the point in question passes through the element that conjugates the electric field at time $t = 0$, altering it for all future time. That is, $E_j(t) \to E_j^{*}(t) = E_j(-t)$, for $t > 0$. Therefore,

$$E_j(t) = \begin{cases} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{E}_j(\omega)e^{i\omega t}d\omega & t \le 0 \\ E_j(-t) & t > 0 \end{cases} \tag{12}$$

This means the conjugation operation leads to spontaneous time reversal in the signal for all future time.

It also implies that the system somehow retained information about its previous state long before encountering the hypothetical conjugator optical element. This of course is not physically possible for any isolated physical element.

To derive the form of $\mathcal{F}$, we take the first two axioms. Together, they state that $\mathcal{F}$ is a positive linear map that maps the space of $2 \times 2$ matrices onto itself. In the theory of C* algebras [12], the most general form for such a map is well known.

It is given by,

$$\mathcal{F}(\Phi) = \sum_i V_i \Phi V_i^\dagger + \sum_j W_j \Phi^t W_j^\dagger, \qquad (13)$$

where $\Phi^t$ is the transpose of $\Phi$. Recall that $\Phi$ is Hermitian as can be seen from eq.(1). Therefore its transpose is equal to its complex conjugate ($\Phi^t = \Phi^*$). Applying axiom 3 to eq.(13), we see that the term involving the transpose must be removed altogether, i.e. $W_j = 0 \ \forall j$. Then we have the final form of the most general physical transformation upon the polarization matrix $\Phi$ as,

$$\mathcal{F}(\Phi) = \sum_i V_i \Phi V_i^\dagger. \qquad (14)$$

Therefore, eq.(14) describes the most general operation upon a polarization matrix $\Phi$. It is of the form of the Jones matrix ensemble in eq.(10), setting $V_i = \sqrt{p_i} T_i$.

We have proven the the validity of the expression in eq.(10) as the most general physical transformation on a polarization matrix, using some basic mathematics and minimal assumptions. We have also given physical reasons why the transpose map is inadmissible, despite its preservation of positivity, equating it to the unphysical (and acausal) conjugate map. This treatment will break down in higher dimensions, since eq.(13) only applies in the case of $2 \times 2$ matrices. Also note that eq.(10) and eq.(14) are of the form of a *completely* positive linear map (a stronger condition than a positive linear map [13]).

In the field of quantum information, quantum channels are transformations that have this same form for some unrelated reasons involving tensor products of different spaces [17].

We thank Professor Man-Duen Choi at the University of Toronto Department of Mathematics for his help. This work was funded by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC), and the Ontario Ministry of Training, Colleges, and Universities.

## References

1. G. G. Stokes, "On the composition and resolution of streams of polarized light from different sources", Trans. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 9, 399-416 (1852).
2. C. Brosseau, *Fundamentals of polarized light: a statistical optics approach* (Wiley-Interscience, 1998).
3. N. Wiener, "Generalized harmonic analysis", Acta Math. 55, 119-260 (1930).
4. E. Wolf, "Optics in terms of observable quantities", Nuovo Cimento, 12, 884888 (1954).
5. E. Wolf, *Introduction to the theory of coherence and polarization of light* (Cambridge, 2007).
6. H. Mueller, "The foundation of optics", J. Opt. Soc. Am. 38, 661 (A) (1948).
7. R. C. Jones,"A new calculus for the treatment of optical systems", J. Opt. Soc. Am. 31, 488–493 (1941).
8. K. Kim, L. Mandel, E. Wolf, "Relationship between Jones and Mueller matrices for random media", J. Opt. Soc. Am. A **4**, 433–437 (1987).
9. R. Simon, "The connection between Mueller and Jones matrices of polarization optics", Opt. Commun. 42, 293 (1982).
10. J. J. Gil, "Characteristic properties of Mueller matrices", J. Opt. Soc. Am. A **17**, 328–334 (2000).
11. B. N. Simon et al., "Nonquantum entanglement resolves a basic Issue in polarization optics", Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 023901 (2010).
12. M. Choi, "Some assorted inequalities for positive linear maps on c*-algebras", J. Oper. Theory, **4**, 271–285 (1980).
13. M. Choi, "Completely positive linear maps on complex matrices", Linear Alg. Appl. **10**, 285–290 (1975).
14. L. Mandel, E. Wolf, *Optical coherence and quantum optics* (Cambridge, 1995).
15. M. Born, E. Wolf, *Principles of optics* (Cambridge, 1959).
16. R. Boyd, *Nonlinear optics* (Academic press, 2003).
17. M. Nielsen and I. Chuang, *Quantum computation and quantum information* (Cambridge, 2000).